Strategic Risk Register and Action Plan

Risk Number 1 Risk Owner: Chris Leslie DATE: August 2015

Business Risk Description: Finance Pressures

Trigger	Consequences	Existing Controls	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating to date
 Failure or significant reduction of income streams and external funding 	 Council unable to meet budget requirements Staffing and service level 	 Medium Term Financial Planning is undertaken on an annual basis Monthly Budget Monitoring 		_	20
 Significant change in priorities – influenced by either demand, political vision or legislation 	reductions • Greater use of reserves to maintain a balanced budget	 Half year reports to Members A Funding Volatility Reserve has been created to specifically 	4	5	Reduce
 Unplanned expenditure as a result of urgent works Expenditure incurred where no budgetary provision exists 	 Working balance levels fall below the risk assessed level Increased Council Tax Increase in charges 	address the uncertainty of Government funding levels Healthy reserves and working balance levels held			Review Date
 Target levels for income are not achieved Target efficiency savings are not achieved 	• Increase in charges	balance levels field			October 2015

Effectiveness of controls Further Action Required Target Date for completion Officer(s) Response	nsible
Savings targets will be monitored throughout the year in addition to monthly budget monitoring on the Collaborative Planning module which requires sign off from Budget Managers and Heads of Service. Savings for 2016/17 and 2017/18 will begin to be drafted with the aim of having approval by December 2015. Budget set 2 March 2015. Budget set 2 March 2015.	nce Director

Risk Number 2 Risk Owner: Gordon Glenday DATE: August 2015

Business Risk Description: Local Development Plan

Trigger	Consequences	Existing Controls	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating to date
Failure of Council to adopt a Plan in line with National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) Lack of If you would like to discuss this, please do not hesitate to	 Planning applications judged against NPPF 'in favour of sustainable development' Development permitted in locations on an ad-hoc basis Potential appeal costs 	 Meeting targets set out in the Plan timetable (Local Development Scheme) Ongoing discussion with neighbouring Local Planning Authorities 	3	4	12 Reduce
contact me on ext 2821.formal agreement through Duty to Cooperate	 Staff resource implications to deal with increased applications Lack of necessary infrastructure 	Recruitment of permanent staff to fill posts in Planning Policy Team (August 2014), reduced			Review Date
 Failure to adopt Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) Loss/long term absence of staff Recruitment difficulties 	funding Delay to Plan preparation and lack of necessary expertise & experience	reliance on agency & temp staff			October 2105

Effectiveness of controls	Further Action Required	Target Date for completion	Officer(s) Responsible
Current adopted Plan timetable now out of date, CIL Preliminary Charging Rates agreed by Strategy & Policy Board (19.03.14) but consultation has yet to take place	 Agreement of a new Plan timetable Agreement through Duty to Cooperate with neighbouring Local Planning Authorities regarding cross-boundary issues Agreement of key issues to inform proposed spatial strategy for quantum and locations of new development Completion of technical evidence to inform emerging Plan policies Consultation on CIL Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule and further work to enable CIL adoption by April 2016 deadline 	LDP – July 2017 CIL – April 2016	Gordon Glenday, Head of Planning Phil Drane, Planning Policy Team Leader

Risk Number 3	Risk Owner: Ashley Culverwell & Phil Ruck	DATE: August 2015				
	(re IT requirements)	_				
Business Risk Description: Disaster Recovery/Continuity Planning						

Trigger	Consequences	Existing Controls	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating to date
 Failure to respond effectively to an incident/event (e.g IT loss, virus/flu pandemic) Failure to provide critical services Failure to identify critical 	 Ineffective response to an incident causes service disruption Unable to deliver key services Possible loss of income Staff absence 	 Most services have Business Continuity Plans in place Civil Contingency Act Insurance cover Alternative fuel stocks/supplies Pandemic flu plan 	2	5	10 Retain
suppliersLack of resilience of local businesses	Vulnerable residents at risk through lack of service delivery	A business continuity guide has been produced for businesses			Review Date
					October 2015

Effectiveness of controls	Further Action Required	Target Date for completion	Officer(s) Responsible
On over-arching business continuity plan is in place plus individual business continuity plans for the majority of services. No testing of those plans has yet taken place.	 ICT to provide up to date Business Continuity Recovery Plan Internal exercises to test the adequacy of Business Continuity Plans across the Council cannot occur until the BC Plan for ICT has been produced by that service Intranet development for Business Continuity and Emergency Planning information Exercises to test resilience of Gold Command & Emergency Planning measures that are in place – now arranged for 22nd October 2015 	Nov 2015 December 2015	Mark Stanbury, Environmental Health Manager, Sue White, Risk & Insurance Officer Departmental managers Risk Management/CLB Phil Ruck, Head of Paid Services & Tim Huggins, ICT Manager

Risk Number 4 Risk Owner: Phil Ruck DATE: August 2015

Business Risk Description: Organisational Capacity

Trigger	Consequences	Existing Controls	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating to date
 Lack of capacity to effectively govern the organisation Loss/sickness of key staff Failure to focus on staff wellbeing and development Failure to build relationships with 	 Poor staff morale Poor communications Inability to deliver effective and efficient services Poor delivery of aspirations and priorities 	 MTFP Communications Protocol and Strategy Workforce Strategy Staff Survey (and Action Plan) Peer Review (and Action Plan) 	3	4	12 Reduce
residents and business communities	Inefficient use of resourcesBreakdown of Officer and	Regular meetings between Senior Members and Officers			Review Date
	Member relations	Staff Bulletins and BriefingsReview options for alternative service delivery models			October 2015

Effectiveness of controls	Further Action Required	Target Date for completion	Officer(s) Responsible
As a small Authority – changes in priorities will always present a challenge in terms of flexibility and	Develop a clear and concrete vision for the Council in order that resource requirements can be scoped	30/09/2015 (after consultation)	Phil Ruck, Head of Paid Service
capacity to deliver. Financial constraints (also set out in RSK 1) places pressure on	Continuous programme of service reviews to evaluate alternative options for service delivery	Ongoing	Relevant Managers
maintaining effective service delivery			

Risk Number 5	Risk Owner: Chris Potter	DATE: August 2015			
Business Risk Description: Information Management and Security					

Trigger	Consequences	Existing Controls	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating to date
 Data held by the Council ends up in inappropriate hands Little or no awareness of data collected internally – poor information sharing Lack of resources for IT 	 Breach of corporate governance Increased costs and legal implications Reputation damaged 	Data Protection Policy	3	5	15 Reduce
integration					Review Date
					October 2015

Effectiveness of controls	Further Action Required	Target Date for completion	Officer(s) Responsible
Weak, arising from a lack of an integrated approach to information management across the Council.	 Review existing Data Protection Policy Raise awareness of the importance of information to the Council and the individual Produce a co-ordinated approach to information management and security Further training is being rolled out to Members & Officers and dates being arranged. Diarised date in place for required annual renewal of Council's Certificate of Registration with the Information Commissioner's Office (registration number Z2092695) due to expire on 8 February 2016. Diarised date in place for individual Councillor notification with ICO for renewal in April 2016. 	Beginning of January 2016 April 2016	Christopher Potter, Monitoring Officer and Head of Support Services

Risk Number 7 Risk Owner: Phil Ruck DATE: August 2015

Business Risk Description: Commercial Activities

Trigger	Consequences	Existing Controls	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating to date
 Business plans not agreed Individual service income not realised or income generation below projections Business models reveal poor market prospects or fail 	 Council unable to meet budget requirements Staffing and service level reductions Spending/service cutbacks Greater use of reserves if 	 Medium Term Financial Planning is undertaken on an annual basis with monitoring arrangements Monthly Budget Monitoring Quarterly monitoring arrangements 	2	4	8 Retain
Income not realized due to weak commercial company arrangements (including poor Governance) • Greater use of reserves in required net savings are not achieved • Increased Council Tax • Increase in charges • Ineffective application of business model and company fails (services move back inhouse) • Regular reports to Asset and Enterprise committee to provide close monitoring • Robust business modeling and financial projections			Review Date		
			October 2015		

Effectiveness of controls	Further Action Required	Target Date for completion	Officer(s) Responsible
In early stages but all aspects of this are being closely monitored by all parties (senior officers and Members)	 More reporting on progress Services to include updates within their service plans Sound legal and financial advice to support the creation of a commercial company Agree commercial vehicle requirements for the Council Develop a business case to support the commercial activity Monitoring activities via a Task Force 	Sept 2015 31/01/2016 31/12/2015	Phil Ruck, Head of Paid Service Phil Ruck, Head of Paid Service & Steve Summers, Head of Customer Services

Risk Number 8	Risk Owner: Phil Ruck DATE: August 2015	
Business Risk Description: Contract/Partnership	o Failure	

Trigger	Consequences	Existing Controls	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating to date
Key partnership fails or services provided via arrangements lacking adequate governance	Lack of accountabilityResources wastedFinancial losses	SLA's embedded within contract and penalties in place for non performance	2	4	8
	Objectives not met Regular reporting on contract performance Escalation and governance in	2	4	Retain	
		place			Review Date
					October 2015

Effectiveness of controls	Further Action Required	Target Date for completion	Officer(s) Responsible
Controls are governed by contract and are in a good situation	 Continue to fine tune reporting Hold regular meetings with suppliers Engage relevant HoS (where applicable) New performance reports established and submitted to F&R Committee Continue to challenge existing performance indicators Revised Partnership, Strategy, Policy & Procedures to be ratified at Policy, Finance & Resource Committee on 15 September 2015. 	Ongoing	Phil Ruck, Head of Paid Service

Risk Number 9 Risk Owner: Phil Ruck DATE: August 2015

Business Risk Description: Lack of Strategic Direction

Trigger	Consequences	Existing Controls	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating to date
 Lack of long term strategic planning Lack of relationship with 	 Failure to adapt to policy/legislative changes Poor performance management 	Corporate PlanTraining and Development for Officers and Members	2	4	12
residents, business communities and partners	Poor moralePoor delivery of priorities/aspirations	 Code of Conduct Consultation/Surveys Project and Performance 	3	4	Reduce
	Inefficient use of resources Reputation undermined	Management Framework			Review Date
	Failure to communicate effectivelyLack of community engagement				October 2015

Effectiveness of controls	Further Action Required	Target Date for completion	Officer(s) Responsible
Some improvements required	Develop a clear and concrete vision for the Council in order that resource requirements can be scoped and the vision can be communicated internally and externally	30/09/2015	Phil Ruck, Head of Paid Service

Risk Number 10 Risk Owner: Helen Gregory DATE: August 2015

Business Risk Description: Failure to spend Capital Receipts

Trigger	Consequences	Existing Controls	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating to date
Delays in delivering Affordable Housing programme	In the event that the Receipts are not spent then all or the outstanding balance of the	Monitored by finance teamAffordable housing programme	3	5	15
	specific identified sums has to be paid to DCLG with interest at 4% above Base Rate from receipt.				Reduce
	Reputation damage externally with HCA/EHOG and press coverage.		Reduce likeli following cor measures iss	ntrol	Review Date
	covoluge.		purchase properties		October 2015

Effectiveness of controls	Further Action Required	Target Date for completion	Officer(s) Responsible
 Improve monitoring arrangements to CLB level Immediate action has been implemented to mitigate risk of delays to affordable housing development programme by instructing the asset management team to purchase 2 x 3 bedroom properties 	Capital receipts placed on strategic risk register and monitored at CLB meetings	Sep -15 £168,347.77 Dec-15 £940,485.57 Mar-16 £446,052.23	Helen Gregory, Head of Housing